

**STEUBEN COUNTY
INDUSTRIAL
DEVELOPMENT
AGENCY**

*Driving the Wheels
of Change*

TO: Rick VenVertloh, LaBella Associates
Tom Hagner, Ecogen LLC

FROM: Kathy Warren *Kathy*
Administrative Assistant

SUBJECT: Comments on Draft GEIS

DATE: June 10, 2005

Enclosed please find comments received this week on the Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement (Items 29-39).

Thanks.

RECEIVED
6/8/05 (29)

ECOGEN 041

Carolyn F. Penner

15 Meadowrue Way – W. Henrietta, NY 14586 – 585-359-1753 – cpenner@rochester.rr.com

June 7, 2005

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency Board of Directors

Michael Doyle	George Connors
Philip Roche	Ellsworth Beckman
Richard Weakland	Jane Karlsten
Robert Hollands	
James P. Sherron, Executive Director	

SCIDA Offices
7234 Route 54, PO Box 393
Bath, New York 14810

Dear Board Members:


I am writing to request that you **reject** the Draft Environmental Impact Statement submitted by Ecogen and presented to the public on May 23, 2005. I am opposed to the presence of industrial wind turbines in Prattsburgh and Italy.

I do not want these towers in Prattsburgh or any of the surrounding area. The hills in the area are beautiful and peaceful. I own land in Prattsburgh, pay taxes there, and had hoped to build a home for my retirement years on that land. The landscape would be ruined if these towers are built – as would the property values in the entire area. I had hoped to have a place where my grandchildren could enjoy the quiet of the country – not listen to these turbines and see how the beauty of the landscape has been ruined by these towers.

I sincerely hope that the SCIDA Board of Directors responds to my concern, and to the concerns of hundreds of others expressed over the past year, by prohibiting the siting of any wind turbines in Italy/Prattsburgh – or at least guarantee that no wind turbines will be erected within one mile of the property line of any non-participating land owner for reasons of noise, health and safety.

Please advise that you have received my letter and inform me of the actions the Board plans to take in response to my concerns and those raised at the Public Hearing on May 23.

Sincerely,



Carolyn F. Penner

RECEIVED 6/8/05 30

ECOGEN 042

June 7, 2005

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
Board of Directors

Michael Doyle
Philip Roche
Richard Weakland
Robert Hollands
George Connors
Ellsworth Beckman
Jane Karlsten
James P. Sherron, Executive Director

SCIDA Offices
7234 Route 54, PO Box 393
Bath, New York 14810

Dear Board Members:

I am writing to request that you reject the Draft Environmental Impact Statement submitted by Ecogen and presented to the public on May 23, 2005. I am opposed to the presence of industrial wind turbines in Prattsburgh and Italy.

I believe that the windmills should be put into an industrial park, together in one location instead of single standing units that will be scattered over the land as a visual, noisy blight.

Having traveled to western states, I have seen windmill farms. Together the windmills look like they belong there. Locating them scattered around the countryside is objectionable. Here are a few reasons that come to mind.

First - The visual impact:

The Finger Lakes area is becoming a well known tourist attraction. Mostly for the views, the wineries and small towns. Placing these windmills across the area will hurt tourism and local business economies, that depend upon tourism.

Also I am an amateur astronomer. Night lamps on these towers may impact my viewing of the night sky. I chose my location in Prattsburgh because of lack of cell towers with red lamps located on top of the towers.

Second- If windmills are scattered over the views that most of us have Will property values go down? I plan on putting an addition on to my home and retiring to the Prattsburgh area in a few years. I have noticed properties are selling for less since the windmill project has been proposed.


Third – While out west ■ noticed several windmills at the windmill farms that was in disrepair. If the windmills break down, Who will guarantee that repairs will be made in a quick manner? *Even if it becomes cost prohibited to repair the windmills?* What rulings will be put into place stating that ■ or my neighbors will not have to pay for the repairs and maintenance of the windmills with our tax dollars once the project loses financial integrity?

Fourth – Noise and ice buildup.
Sounds travel, will the noise produced by the windmills upset local wild bird and animal populations? (Not to mention people) In winter season will the windmills produce ice that can be flung off and do damage to birds, animals and property?

■ expect the SCIDA Board of Directors to respond to my concern, and the concerns of hundreds of others expressed over the past year, by (either prohibiting the sitting of any wind turbines in Italy/Prattsburgh; or ensuring that wind turbines are sited only in an industrial park setting and guaranteeing that no wind turbine will be erected within 3000' of the property line of any non-participating landowner).

Please let me know that you have received my letter and inform me of the actions the Board plans to take in response to my concerns and those raised at the Public Hearing on May 23.

Cordially,



John Passantino
7650 Faber Rd
Prattsburgh, NY 14873

Please send correspondence
To
715 Finchingfield Lane
Webster, NY 14580

RECEIVED
6/8/05 (31)

ECOGEN 043

To SCIDA Board Members

RE: Windmills

It is a long road we have already taken to get to this point. I have the following points to make:

1. The setbacks outlined for the Prattsburg project are very inadequate. 400 feet from a property line when the towers are over 400 feet tall is crazy. If the seasonal property owners only get a 400 foot setback and fulltime residents get a 1000 foot set back, what happens when a part-timer retires to his Prattsburg property? We both pay equal taxes. The setback issue needs to be addressed. A 4000 foot minimum is needed. What happened to the New York State fall down rule?
2. Noise. We requested a noise study as outlined by the D.E.C. But were informed that the D.E.C. had removed this requirement. Our property in Prattsburg is ultra quiet now, 1000 feet from the road and now 400 feet from the site of two windmills? How can anyone say the noise level in our area will not be unbearable without testing? We picked Prattsburg to retire for the quiet country setting.
3. Wildlife. No one can tell us the affect on all wildlife. Eagles, pilated woodpeckers, hawks of all varieties, deer, turkeys, bears, etc, etc,no body knows.
4. Liability. Ice throws, falldown zones, power lines who's fault is it?

This is a very inhabited area, why not pick an area that's not more wide open?
It is obyious that this unzoned rural area was targeted for it's undeveloped zoning regulations.
Why let these developers take advantage of this rural town government?

Thomas C. Johns
7594 Fifth St.
Sodus Point, NY 14555
And
Sacred Pass
Prattsburg, N.Y.



Steuben County IDA

ECOGEN 044

From: "Bruce D Taylor" <taylorbd@empacc.net>
To: <scida@empacc.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 07, 2005 12:04 PM
Attach: Steuben County IDA May 30.doc
Subject: Ecogen's Draft Generic Environmental Impact Study

Gentlemen,

Please include the attached letter with the comments on the subject document.

Bruce D. Taylor, PE, CPEA
Principal Engineer
Rolling Hills Consulting, Inc.
607.522.6461

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.

Version: 7.0.323 / Virus Database: 267.6.5 - Release Date: 6/7/2005

Steuben County IDA
7234 Route 54 North
Po Box 393
Bath, NY 14810-0393
Phone: 607.776.3316
Fax: 607.776.5039
E-mail: scida@empacc.net

May 30, 2005

RE: Ecogen's Draft Generic Environmental Impact Study

Gentlemen:

I was traveling for my work when the meeting concerning Ecogen's draft generic environmental impact study was held at the Prattsburgh School but I have a comment that I would like to have included and considered. My wife and I fully support the concept of renewable energy development in New York and support the concept of wind farms in the Prattsburgh area. It is my understanding that there are currently 8-10 wind farm projects under consideration and that they all have been required by DEC to conduct both fall and spring migratory bird and bat studies as part of their Environmental Impact Studies. I have spoken with several individuals involved with conducting these migratory bird/bat studies and have been told that DEC has required developers to provide 45 days of spring data unless another number of days (nights) has been negotiated. I have further been told that Ecogen has NOT completed any spring migratory bird/bat studies as requested by DEC and Ecogen has flatly refused to do so.

I find this totally unacceptable. All potential wind farm developers should "play by the same rules". It appears that Ecogen is showing blatant disregard for the potential negative impact wind turbines might have on migratory birds/bats in the interest of "fast tracking" their project ahead of those firms who are complying with the requirements/requests of DEC – the state agency charged with protecting our wild environment.


Therefore we can not support Ecogens draft generic environmental impact study until spring migratory data has been collected for their potential sites and submitted to DEC for review and evaluation.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bruce D. Taylor

Bruce D. Taylor, PE
6554 Cook School Road
Prattsburgh, NY 14873



June 6,2005

ECOGEN 045

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
Board of Directors

Michael Doyle
Philip Roche
Richard Weakland
Robert Hollands
George Connors
Ellsworth Beckrnan
Jane Karlsten
James P. Sherron, Exutive Director

SCIDA Offices
7234 Route 54, PO Box 393
Bath, NY 14810

Dear Board Members,

I am writing you to request that you reject the Draft Environmental Impact Statement submitted by Ecogen and presented to the public on May 23,2005. I am opposed to the presence of industrial wind turbines in Prattsburgh and Italy.

I do not want them in our Town for the following reasons:

1. They will forever change the natural beautiful scenic views of the area.
2. They will destroy the areas tourist business. Who wants to vacation for peaceful nature views when there are 400 ft. noisy and distracting wind turbines everywhere.
3. There will be a huge impact on area roads and land. There will be many trees cut which will cause erosion and might affect the water table for wells.
4. Many birds, bats and eagles and falcons and other migrating birds will be killed by the rotation of the turbine blades.
5. The lights on the turbines will light up the night sky and ruin the darkness for night viewing of stars and planets causing night sky pollution.
6. The turbines are to noisy and will cause noise day and night. Permanently bothering any adjacent neighbors and causing them to have their windows closed all year to avoid the constant noise pollution.
7. Many people have bought vacation properties in this area and wind turbines will cause property values to fall and will cause a loss for these people when they sell their land.
8. I have purchased property in the vicinity of the proposed wind turbine area and if I had known that these turbines were going to be installed within my view and hearing I would have never have bought the property. I bought this land in the country for the peaceful atmosphere and the scenic views all of which will be ruined when these turbines are installed.
9. The placement of these towers is to close to neighboring properties. They should at least be

far enough away where noise will not be heard **from** any neighboring property boundary. They should be placed so any area on my property will not be affected, not just so many feet my residence. I should not have to have a wind turbine so close to a property line that it will render that part of my property useless for building a residence there.

10. The adjacent neighbors of these wind turbines will not be given any monies for their property that will be undesirable for future use and for selling.
11. The town of Prattsburgh will not be given any discount for electricity use and electricity from these turbines will be sent elsewhere.
12. Leasing property owners are not given enough money to compensate for the use of their land. Non-leasing adjacent property owners will be given no compensation at all.
13. There will be considerable ice throw in the winter that could injure or kill animals or humans.
14. These tubines can also be dangerous if a blade is thrown free or if the whole tower falls.
15. Why would anyone want to permanently destroy this beautiful finger-lakes region that will be forever marred by these turbines. This will be the first of probably many more towers erected in this area if this is approved.
16. **I am** sure Ecogen could find a more isolated and bigger tract of land that will not affect so many other landowners.
17. If Prattsburgh or Italy will not benefit by cheaper electricity or taxes I see no beneficial reason for this area to have wind turbines.

I expect the SCIDA Board of Directors to respond to my concern, and the concerns of hundreds of others expressed over the past year, by (either prohibiting the siting of any wind turbines in Italy/ Prattsburgh; or ensuring that wind turbines are sited only in an industrial park setting and guaranteeing that no wind turbine will be erected within **3000'** of the property line of any non-participating landowner).

Please let me know that you have received my letter and inform me of the actions the Board plans to take in response to my concerns and those raised at the Public Hearing on May 23.

Thank you,
Gail E. Baker
6037 Lyons Hollow Rd.
Prattsburgh, NY **14873**

Mailing address:
59 Florendin Dr.
Henrietta, NY **14467**

RECEIVED
6/9/05 (34)

ECOGEN 046

June 8, 2005

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
Board of Directors
7234 Route 54
P.O.Box 393
Bath, New York 14810

Dear Sirs:


I am writing to request that you reject the draft environmental impact statement submitted by Ecogen and presented to the public May **23**. I am adamantly opposed to the presence of industrial wind turbines in Prattsburgh and all of New York State for all the obvious reasons that were presented to you by the speakers on May **23** – and more.

I simply cannot imagine that intelligent citizens and board members could approve this pie-in-the-sky idea, and it is **simply** unthinkable that so many hours have already been spent to put forth this ludicrous project and just as many, or more, man hours have been spent by concerned citizens to stop it. What a waste of everyone's time and money!

This nation, its leaders, and concerned citizens should be focused on **productive** solutions to renewable energy.

Thank you for your attention to my concerns.

Sincerely,



Joan Myers
524 List Avenue
Rochester, New York 14617

RECEIVED
6/9/05 35

June 7, 2005

ECOGEN 047

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
Board of Directors

Michael Doyle
Philip Roche
Richard Weakland
Robert Hollands
George Connors
Ellsworth Beckman
Jane Karlsten
James P. Sherron, Executive Director

SCIDA Offices
7234 Route 54, PO Box 393
Bath, New York 14810

Dear Board Members:

We are writing to request that you reject the Draft Environmental Impact Statement submitted by Ecogen, and that was presented to the public on May 23, 2005. As home and land owners in Prattsburgh, we are opposed to the presence of industrial wind turbines in Prattsburgh and Italy.

We do not want them in our town for the following reasons:

- Negative health effects of turbines placed too near homes
- Potential for injury from ice-throw from nearby turbines
- Potential negative impact on property values
- Negative effects on tourism, local economies, and jobs
- Overwhelming visual dominance of these towers

We expect the SCIDA Board of Directors to respond to our concern and the concerns of hundreds of others expressed over the past year by either prohibiting the placement of any wind turbines in Italy/Prattsburgh or ensuring that wind turbines are sited only in an industrial park setting. We would also expect a guarantee that no wind turbine will be erected within 3000' of the property line of any non-participating landowner.

Please let us know you have received our letter and inform us of the actions the Board plans to take in response to our concerns and to those concerns raised at the Public Hearing on May 23.

Thank you,


Todd and Cynthia Wolfer

RECEIVED
6/10/05 36

ECOGEN 048


June 8, 2005

SCIDA Board Members,
c/o James P. Sherron

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Ecogen Windfarm. I feel they would have a negative affect for Prattsburgh and surrounding Finger Lakes Area tourism. I strongly disagree with building them. This area is rich for its beauty. Industrial wind farms should be placed in deserts and plains where people do not vacation, live and recreate.

The finger lake region area, especially Prattsburgh is one of the most pleasant places I vacation and I do not want to pay for an extended visit to a wind farm area. Industrialization of this area with such large and potentially dangerous structures will cause more harm than good. Building wind farms will hurt not only tourism and property value but also impact the wildlife. I urge you to NOT build.

Respectfully,



Jodi Larkin

RECEIVED 37
6/10/05

ECOGEN 049

June 8.2005

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
Board of Directors

Michael Doyle
Philip Roche
Richard Weakland
Robert Hollands
George Connors
Ellsworth Beckman
Jane Karlsten
James P. Sherron, Executive Director

SCIDA Offices
7234 Route 54, PO Box 393
Bath, New York 14810

Dear Board Members:

I am writing to request that you reject the Draft Environmental Impact Statement submitted by Ecogen and presented to the public on May 23,2005. I am opposed to the presence of industrial wind turbines in Prattsburgh and Italy.

I do not want them in our Town for the following reasons:

- They are completely out of place in the Finger Lakes area.
- They destroy the scenic beauty of our area, not only during daylight hours but during nighttime as well.
- They are noisy and possibly unsafe for nearby residents.
- They will cause property values to decline with a resulting decrease in real estate taxes for both the town and county.
- They will not reduce the need for current electrical generating facilities.
- Any money realized by the "industrial development" in Steuben County will be negative because of the impact to current uses for land in these areas.
- They are an 'industrial operation' and as such, should be placed in an industrial zone away from and out of sight of residences.

I expect the SCIDA Board of Directors to respond to my concern, and the concerns of hundreds of others expressed over the past year, by either prohibiting the installation of any wind turbines in Italy/Prattsburgh; or ensuring that wind turbines are sited only in an industrial park setting and guaranteeing that no wind turbine will be erected within 3000' of the property line of any non-participating landowner.

Please let me know that you have received my letter and inform me of the actions the Board plans to take in response to my concerns and those raised at the Public Hearing on May 23.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael J. Costello". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent initial "M".

Michael J. Costello
359 Sandoris Circle
Rochester, NY 14622

RECEIVED 6/10/05 38

ECOGEN 050

June 9, 2005

Richard & Helen Pellett
6163 S. Vine Valley Rd.
Middlesex, NY 14507

Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
7234 Route 54 North
P.O. Box 393
Bath, NY 14810-0393

Re: Prattsburgh / Italy Wind Farm Project
Environmental Impact Statement

Gentlemen:


As a property owner on Block School Road in Prattsburgh we wish to add our comments and concerns to your ongoing approval process for the above reference project.

We are very concerned about the potential negative impact that the construction of these towers will have on our residence at 11783 Block School road and on our property. Everyone knows the concerns of those who oppose this project so we don't feel the need to repeat them here, other than to say that we share them as well. You must realize that the area property values will go down drastically if this project goes forward. Will the county lower the assessed value of all of this property to reflect this? We strongly believe that selling our residence and/or property which will be in the center of this 'wind farm' will be close to impossible. All properties in this part of the county will be devalued as well. How does the county propose to make up for the lost tax revenue when it is forced to lower all of the assessments? These properties would become virtually worthless to anyone with the exception of Ecogen to build more wind turbines on. Is this what Steuben County wants?

We are also very concerned that Ecogen is not being required to do the proper reaserch into to environmental impact of their project on each proposed site. This must be doneto determine the true overall impact of the project on the area. You must insist upon this at the very least. Set Backs are another issue that needs further study. It is our belief that this project does not belong in Steuben County and that no set back is far enough to not negatively impact properties, homes and the lives of residents.

In closing, please take into account all of the negative aspects of this project and do not allow it to continue. For the record, Mr. Tom Hagner did approach us about leasing our property to his company for this project. We studied the information that was available and drove to Wethersfield to view an existing wind farm and decided that we could not agree to support a project like this in the area in which it is proposed.

Sincerely,



Richard & Helen Pellett

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Flanigan Square, 547 River Street, Troy, New York 12180-2216

RECEIVED
6/10/05

39

ECOGEN 051

Antonia C. Novello, M.D., M.P.H., Dr.P.H.
Commissioner

Dennis P. Whalen
Executive Deputy Commissioner

June 7, 2005

James P. Sherron, Executive Director
Steuben County Industrial Development Agency
7234 Route 54 North
PO Box 393
Bath, New York 14810-0393

RE: Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement
Ecogen, LLC Prattsburgh/Italy Wind Farm

Dear Mr. Sherron:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on the Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement (DGEIS) submitted to the Steuben County Industrial Development Agency (SCIDA), in accordance with State Environmental Review Quality process, by Ecogen, LLC for the Prattsburgh/Italy Wind Farm. Our primary interest in this matter is the potential impacts of the proposed project on public health. Our review of the DGEIS has identified several points for which we believe additional information should be provided by the applicant. Our specific comments and questions are attached. Thank you for providing DOH with the opportunity to review and comment on this DGEIS.

Sincerely,



A. Kevin Gleason
Assistant Director
Bureau of Toxic Substance Assessment

attachment

cc: N. Kim, Ph.D.
D. Luttinger, Ph.D.
J. Storm, Ph.D.
P. Fritz
R. Bills
A. Salame-Alfie, Ph.D.

**Clarification Requests from New York State Department of Health Staff on the April 7,2005
Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement
Ecogen, LLC Prattsburgh/Italy Wind Farm
June 7,2005**

1. Throughout the Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement (DGEIS), mention is made to minimum distances from residences of non-participating landowners, with no reference to minimum distances in relation to residences of participating landowners. It is not clear from the DGEIS that the towers would be sited at least 400 meters from "participating residences." If a lesser distance is being proposed in relation to "participating residences," that distance would need to be characterized with regard to potential impacts as well.

2. **Volume I, Page 3-3**
3.1.1.2 Future Land Use

The section on future land use primarily describes current land uses in the vicinity of the proposed project. From the information presented in the DGEIS, it is not clear that the applicant has considered any proposed changes in land use in its assessment of potential project impacts. For example, if plans for a residential subdivision or commercial development in the vicinity of the project have been publicly announced, the Steuben County Industrial Development Agency may want the applicant to examine the possible impacts of the proposed project on the future subdivision or development.

3. **Volume I, Page 3-103**
3.9.11 Blasting and Seismic Issues

While Ecogen, LLC believes that no blasting will be necessary for any construction on the site, should blasting become necessary, the following information should be developed by Ecogen prior to commencement of any blasting:

- A plan describing all blasting operations, including location, measures to inform people when blasts will be occurring, measures to ensure safe transportation, storage and handling of explosives and coordination with local safety officials.

- An assessment of potential impacts of blasting to environmental features, aboveground structures and below-ground structures such as any pipelines or drinking water wells.

4. Volume I, Pages 3-105 and 3-108

3.10.1 Ice Shedding, and 3.10.2 Tower Collapse/Fall Zones/Blade Throw

We recognize the occurrence of tower collapse, blade throw and ice shedding may be relatively rare. However, although a minimum distance of 400 meters would appear to be adequate buffer for adjacent properties in the case of a tower collapsing, it is not clear from the DGEIS that 400 meters would be adequate in the case of blade throw or ice shedding. The applicant should be requested to provide documentation, modeling results or other information to show that the outer limit for impact of a thrown structural component or ice formation would be within 400 meters of the tower (the so-called "fall zone") if it were cast from the structure with a horizontal component to the trajectory at velocities consistent with operation of the wind turbine across a range of rotation rates. In the DGEIS mention is made of Christmas Tree Farms (page 2-1). Are any of these farms, or other facilities that may be frequented by the public, situated such that they would be within 400 meters of proposed tower sites?

5. Volume I, Page 3-110

3.10.4 Electromagnetic Fields (EMF)

The DGEIS refers to the EMF Research and Public Information and Dissemination Program (EMF-RAPID Program) and indicates that "(t)he results of the study indicated the findings did not provide sufficient evidence of a risk to currently warrant concern. Numerous additional studies have been conducted regarding EMF risk and no consistent conclusions have been reached which warrant any concern regarding health risks are associated with EMF's." We have two comments:

- The DGEIS should include some additional information to more completely describe the findings in the 1999 National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences report (NIEHS, 1999). For example, the report indicates that "the scientific evidence suggesting that ELF-EMF (extremely low frequency electro-magnetic field) exposures pose any health risk is

- weak." However, page 10 of the report states "The NIEHS concludes that ELF-EMF exposure cannot be recognized as entirely safe because of weak scientific evidence that exposure may pose a leukemia hazard." The NIEHS authors went on to state, "because virtually everyone in the United States uses electricity and therefore is routinely exposed to ELF-EMF, passive regulatory action is warranted such as continued emphasis on educating both the public and the regulated community on means aimed at reducing exposures."
- The statements in the DGEIS are not entirely consistent with the NYS DOH policy of advocating prudent avoidance with regard to exposure to EMF. The applicant should describe any measures it will take to help minimize people's exposure to EMF associated with the proposed project.

6. Volume I, Page 3-111
3.10.5 Shadow Flicker

The section characterizing and assessing the impacts of shadow flicker indicates that "(t)he Epilepsy Foundation has made a statement that frequencies below 10 Hz are not likely to trigger epilepsy seizures." Please identify the original reference for this statement and/or the scientific basis for the statement. Our review of the scientific and medical literature has identified other physiological effects (e.g., retinal vasodilation, neural oscillations in visual cortex) at flicker frequencies less than 10 Hz, but did not identify the basis for considering 10 Hz as a threshold below which seizure induction would be unlikely.

References

NIEHS (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences). 1999. Report on Health Effects from Exposure to Power-Line Frequency Electric and Magnetic Fields. Research Triangle Park, NC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. NIH Publication No. 99-4493.



"A. Kevin Gleason"
 <akg02@health.state.ny.us>
 06/20/2005 08:40 AM

To scida@empacc.net
 cc Mark_Colmerauer@urscorp.com
 bcc
 Subject Fw: Ecogen, LLC Prattsburgh/Italy Wind Farm DGEIS

Please note the clarification referenced in the attached email.
 ----- Forwarded by A. Kevin Gleason/BTSA/DEHA/CEH/OPH/DOH on 06/20/2005
 08:38 AM -----

Mark_Colmerauer@U
 RSCorp.com

06/17/2005 10:04
 AM

"A. Kevin Gleason"
 <akg02@health.state.ny.us>

To

cc

rVenvertloh@labellapc.com

Subject

Re: Ecogen, LLC Prattsburgh/Italy
 Wind Farm DGEIS

Kevin,

Thank you for the quick response and clarification. As Steuben County Industrial Development Agency (SCIDA) is serving as Lead Agency for this project, can you also forward this clarification to Mr. James Sherron, Executive Director, SCIDA as well? His email is :

scida@empacc.net

Thanks again for your assistance.

Regards,

Mark

----- Message Sent By -----
 Mark Colmerauer
 Site Assessment Group Manager, Buffalo
 URS Corporation
 77 Goodell Street
 Buffalo, New York 14203
 main: 716.856.5636
 direct: 716.923.1326
 fax: 716.856.2545

"A. Kevin
Gleason"
<akg02@health.state.ny.us>

06/15/2005 11:15
AM

mark_colmerauer@urscorp.com

To

cc

"Daniel A. Luttinger"
<dal02@health.state.ny.us>,
"Patricia M. Fritz"
<pmf04@health.state.ny.us>

Subject

Ecogen, LLC Prattsburgh/Italy Wind
Farm DGEIS

In the comments attached to the June 7, 2005 NYS DOH letter on this matter, there are several erroneous references to "400 meters." In all cases, the reference should be to a distance of "400 feet." I apologize for any confusion this may have caused.

Kevin Gleason
Bureau of Toxic Substance Assessment
NYS Department of Health
547 River Street, Rm 330
Troy, NY 12180
E-MAIL: akg02@health.state.ny.us
PHONE: (518) 402-7800
FAX: (518) 402-7819